

Public Meeting Notice

June 15, 2015

TO: Finance Committee

FROM: Craig Morris, Vice President for Finance and Administration

RE: Notice of Finance Committee Meeting

The Finance Committee of the Southern Oregon University Board of Trustees will hold a regular committee meeting on the date and at the location set forth below.

Topics of the meeting will include the Year End Forecast, Budget update, Schneider Children's Center update, and Vice President Report.

The meeting will occur as follows:

June 22, 2015 2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. (or until business is concluded) Hannon Library, DeBoer Boardroom, 3rd Floor (Room 303)

The Hannon Library is located at 1290 Ashland Street, on the campus of Southern Oregon University. **If special accommodations are required, please contact Jennifer Athanas at (541) 552-6111 at least 72 hours in advance.**



Southern Oregon University Board of Trustees Finance & Administration Committee Monday, June 22, 2015 DeBoer Boardroom, Hannon Library, 3rd Floor, #303 2:00pm - 4:00pm (or until business is concluded)

Agenda

Call to	Order – Paul Nicholson, Chair	2:00PM
Roll C	all	
Welco	me & Introductions - Paul Nicholson, Chair	
Public	Comment	2:05 PM
1,	Approve May 28th Finance Committee Meeting Minutes ACTION ITEM Paul Nicholson, Chair	2:20PM
2.	Year-End Forecast Steve Larvick, Director of Business Services	2:25 PM
3.	Budget Update Mark Denney, Associate Vice President for Budget & Planning	2:45PM
4.	Schneider Children's Center Mark Denney, Associate Vice President for Budget & Planning	3:15PM
5.	Vice President Update Craig Morris, Vice President for Finance & Administration	3:30PM

Adjourn

Finance Committee May 28, 2015 Meeting Minutes

Dennis Slattery called the meeting to order at 1:00 pm. Based on the doodle poll, the next Finance Committee meeting will be on June 22 at 2:00 pm.

Introductions and Roll Call

Present:

Les AuCoin
Lyn Hennion (arrived at 1:08 pm)
Jeremy Nootenboom
April Seveik
Dennis Slattery, Acting Chair
Steve Vincent

Absent:

Paul Nicholson

Guests:

Craig Morris, Vice President for Finance and Administration

Kelly Moutsatson, Director of Admissions

Mark Denney, Associate Vice President for Budget and Planning

Chris Stanek, Director of Institutional Research

Steve Larvick, Director of Business Services

Shane Hunter, Senior Financial Management Analyst

Roy Saigo, SOU President

Sue Walsh, Provost

Liz Shelby, Chief of Staff and Director of Government Relations

Jason Catz, Associate General Counsel

Ryan Brown, Head of Community and Media Relations, Marketing and Public Relations

Janet Fratella, Vice President for Development

Deborah Lovern, Budget Officer

Dave Coburn, Oregon Student Association

Kathy Park, Administrative Assistant

Public Comment

None

April 27, 2015 Minutes

Les AuCoin made a motion to approve the minutes from the April 27 meeting, with one amendment. The minutes state that Mr. AuCoin was absent. He was, however, present at the meeting. April Sevoik seconded the motion. Motion passed.

Recruitment and Retention at SOU

In response to the committee's request for an update on admission processes and strategies, Kelly Moutsatson gave a presentation on recruitment and retention at SOU. The Admissions Office has 13 full time employees, six of whom travel to recruit new students, five are operational staff members and one is an IT person. One of these employees serves as a liaison to the SOU Marketing Office and keeps SOU's social media pages updated. The office's goal is to build and maintain relationships externally and internally to increase undergraduate enrollment.

Their primary focus is to bring in new students. To accomplish this, they look at how they communicate with prospective students. They redesigned the admissions webpage and updated marketing materials to make them more appealing to prospective students. For example, brochures now look more like Instagram postings. They created video testimonials by current students. Les AuCoin asked where testimonials are shown besides on the SOU website. Kelly stated they are sent in email messages and posted on YouTube and social media. The office also conducts Instagram contests during preview events.

The Admissions Office uses Customer Relationship Management software to track all admissions communications, which helps greatly in following up with applicants and disseminating information.

The virtual map allows prospective students to view the campus if they do not have time or are unable to visit the campus. This has been beneficial in recruiting. Student ambassadors also help with recruiting, including giving campus tours and making telephone calls.

The Admissions Office recruits most heavily in Oregon but they also recruit in California, Idaho, Washington, Alaska, Nevada and Hawaii. They participate in the Oregon Public University tour each spring to meet with high school juniors. They also visit high schools with a transcript evaluator and render admissions decisions on the spot. And SOU2YOU is one of the most powerful recruiting events they hold. These are held in communities with invitations sent to prospective students in the surrounding areas.

They have consistently received very positive feedback from the preview events they host on campus. These events are growing steadily every year. They are, however, pricey to host.

The Admissions Office conducts Counselor Fly-Ins. They invite counselors to visit SOU for 3 days. This builds relationships with high school and independent counselors throughout SOU's recruitment areas.

Raider Receptions are held for newly admitted students to celebrate their admission to SOU and walk them through what they can expect in the coming months. This is especially important for first generation students.

Some of the Admissions Office's ongoing efforts include targeting specific populations and the faculty calling program. They are expanding their recruitment programs that focus on Native American, Latino and African American populations, among others. The faculty calling program is very beneficial but more faculty participation would be advantageous. The Admissions Office greatly appreciates the dedication of the faculty members and is holding a reception to thank them. Kelly will send the Board members an invitation to that reception. Les

AuCoin commented on how important the faculty calling program is but questioned the degree of faculty buy-in. Kelly has taken steps to increase faculty participation, such as keeping statistics that can be shared, creating a script to follow during calls, sharing results and hosting the faculty reception.

Kelly defined the terms used in the Admissions Office and how they relate to the funnel report: prospect (prospective student), recruit (expressed interest in SOU), applicant (applied but not yet admitted), admit (met eligibility requirements and been offered a space at SOU), confirmed (deposited money and indicated an intent to attend) and yield (percentage of admitted students who actually enroll).

Steve Vincent asked about the conversion rate for accepted students to enrolled students, whether there were industry sector benchmarks, where SOU stands and whether SOU has strategies for increasing the conversion rate. Kelly said there was a 12% increase in applications over last year. They have minimized the time it takes to go through applications and are able to issue early admission decisions. The Financial Aid Office also provides financial aid packets at the outset, which has been extremely helpful to prospective students. Kelly stated SOU is on par or ahead of other Oregon institutions in regard to the conversion rate.

President Saigo stated that there should be other ways to evaluate what increases the perception and affects recognition of a college, that there is a collage of things that impact one's impression of SOU. For example, the impact of the NAIA championship, athletics in general, contacts the college has, visitations and public relations.

Les AuCoin asked if it is difficult for admissions personnel to explain general education programs and the House concept to prospective students. Kelly stated that it is difficult but is getting easier with experience and her staff do a great job addressing these issues at preview events.

Funnel Report

Chris Stanek covered the statistics in the funnel report, focusing on applications and incoming freshmen classes. There is an 18% increase in resident students and a 12% increase in applicants over last year. SOU does not get many applications from transfer students probably because they have already decided where they want to go. SOU does not retain freshmen as well as it does transfer students.

On the chart, there are no numbers in the enrolled box because there have been no ROAR events yet. The first one is June 19.

Chris discussed the conversion rate (the number of applicants to admits) and the yield rate (the number of admits who enroll). The conversion rate is about 79%, which has been pretty standard over the past several years. The yield rate (about 41%) has decreased a bit each year because of the increase in applications. The retention rate for freshmen had been decreasing in the past years but the Fall 2013-Fall 2014 rate increased to 74%, a 6 point jump.

Lyn Hennion asked how many students receive financial aid and where the money comes from. The SOU Foundation gives scholarships totaling \$1 million and this amount grows a little each

year. SOU provides tuition discounts of \$3.6 million, which are programmed into SOU's budget. This \$3.6 million figure has not changed over the past several years and they have not spent the full amount in the last couple of years. Craig Morris said the State Board of Education gave a target of 12% to revenue discount for a combination of tuition discounts and foundation scholarships; SOU has a 13-13.5% rate. Finally, federal loan programs provide financial aid to students. SOU does not track scholarships provided by outside entities.

Dennis Slattery asked if SOU tracks students who are not retained. Chris stated that it is hard to track these individuals. SOU uses exit surveys without much success. The typical reasons students leave college are financial, academic or personal.

Graduation rates are based on a 6-year norm, tracking full time, first time freshmen. This is an archaic method for tracking SOU's diverse student population. SOU's rate is 36%, probably one of the lowest in the state. However, this is not an accurate reflection of the SOU student population. SOU graduates 22% of its student body each year, which is a more accurate reflection of the SOU student population. The actual number of students who have graduated from SOU has remained steady, not increasing like some other Oregon universities. However, those universities' enrollment numbers are also increasing whereas SOU's number has not changed much. The 22% figure is the same for those other universities.

HEC probably would not be receptive to SOU's position/formula, even though we are graduating large classes of students each year. HEC funds only graduates who are Oregon residents. If we were funded on how many graduate and not a rate, we would be fine.

Expense Budget

Mark Denney presented the SOU expense budget. He had not had the opportunity to evaluate the budget numbers in depth prior to this committee meeting.

The numbers are not what were projected by the retrenchment plan. They are higher by several million dollars. Craig Morris stated the budget numbers were expected to come in high and are not a crisis. There is, however, still some work to do and they will meet the retrenchment plan's requirements.

There were three main explanations for the increase in total personnel costs. SOU received additional funding for four positions whose duties were formerly performed by OUS-funded positions (e.g., the legal advisor and SOU Board secretary positions). There was a 3% increase set aside for salary increases pending negotiations with groups represented by unions. Craig Morris discussed the three categories of employees: faculty, classified employees and administrators. Craig stated this 3% pool may not be adequate. The final reason for the increase in personnel costs is the cessation of furlough days on June 30 and return to regular salaries.

The supplies and services figures increased because of the added positions previously funded by OUS, utility rate increases and the new university-wide diversity program. These figures are the ones that need the ones most scrubbing.

Craig Morris said that, as is, this is a balanced budget with a small fund balance. However, they will scrub the budget numbers. He said the supplies and services numbers should be decreasing

over the next couple of years, not increasing. SOU will spend some, save some. They want to grow the fund balance.

Mark is also evaluating course fees the departments charge. Departments are being encouraged to match course fees to actual expenditures. Because of limits imposed on how this revenue can be spent, departments cannot randomly increase their expenditures to match the revenue.

The SOU Board will approve the budget at the June meeting or the July special meeting.

Auxiliary Report

In response to a presentation on the Periodic Management Report at the last meeting of the SOU Board, Steve Larvick and Shane Hunter gave a follow up presentation.

Steve and Shane explained the numbers on the pie charts, which detail how much each section comprises in the budget.

The North Campus Village (the two new residence halls) is reported separately because it is not owned by SOU; it is a public-private partnership.

In response to a question about whether the Board members should worry about any of these numbers, Craig Morris said the athletics numbers need to be addressed and the footnote on the chart highlights others that are of concern. He stated that the SOU Board would have a special Finance Committee meeting to specifically discuss the athletics numbers because of its particularly large deficit.

There was a discussion about JPR, its relationship to SOU and its funding procedures. JPR is an SOU department like any other department. However, JPR is funded in large part by the JPR Foundation. JPR is now being brought into the SOU budgeting process and it is a learning process for them.

Before being outsourced to Barnes & Noble, the SOU bookstore was progressively losing money each year, not much but a bigger amount every year. It was outsourced partly to capture what became a \$1 million fund balance that helped support retrenchment.

Shane explained the transfers in and out and the sources of the money for the transfers.

Other

The next meeting is on June 22 at 2:00 p.m. in the SOU Hannon Library DeBoer Room.

The meeting was adjourned at 2:58 pm.

Periodic Management Report

As of May 31, 2015 Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

						Bu	Budget Forecast	Ast			
(in thousands accome across)	FYZ	FY2014 Final Results	FY2015 Initial Rudoet		%Change	돈중품	FY2015 Forecast Budoet	Variance from 100.0% Initial Budget	Variance from Initial Budget	% Variance from Initial Budget	Notes
EDUCATION & GENERAL					u						
State General Fund	64)	13,762	\$ 10	16,779	22%	69	16,989	ନେ	210	1%	(1)
Tuiton & Resource Fees, net of Remissions		33,278	(r)	31,974	-4%		33,262		1,288	4%	(2)(14)
Other Takes December	6	3,008	6	2,101	-30%	S	1,806	\$ 70 02	1 202	-14%	
I OCAL ACY CLUCS	*	2000		2,000	ì)				ì	
Personnel Services	649	43,948	8	43,794	%0	(P)	42,875	69	(616)	-2%	(3)
Supplies & Services & Capital Outlay		7,229		7,231	%0		8,073		842	12%	(4)
Total Expenditures	69	51,176	\$ 5	51,025	%0	643	50,948	sA.	(77)	%0	
Net from Operations	69	(1,128)	69	(171)		6A	1,107	69	1,278		
Net Transfers		1,855		2,530	36%		1,737		(793)	-31%	(5)(13)
Fund Additions/(Deductions)		0		0			0		0		
Change in Fund Balance	69	727	69	2,359		69	2,844	69	485		
Beginning Fund Balance		1,018		1,745			1,745		0		
Ending Fund Balance	69	1,745	69	4,104		69	4,589	(A	485		
Ending FB as a % Operating Revenues		3.5%		8,1%			8.8%				
Student FTE Enrollment		4,421		4,306	-3%		4,389			2%	
AUXILIARY ENTERPRISES (including North Campus Village)											
Eurollment Fees	69	5,125	69	5,521	%8	69	5,276	693	(245)	-4%	
Sales & Services		12,725	1	11,483	-10%		10,832		(651)	%9-	(9)
Other		1,466		1,725	18%		1,194		(531)	-31%	(2)
Total Revenues	69	19,317	\$ 1	18,729	-3%	69	17,301	23.4% \$	(1,428)	%8-	(2)
Personnel Services	69	6,453	69	6,533	1%	69	6,083	S	(450)	-7%	(8)
Supplies & Services & Capital Outlay		11,643		10,981	%9-		10,926		(55)	-1%	
Total Expenditures	69	18,096	89	17,514	-3%	69	17,009	693	(505)	-3%	
Net from Operations	69	1,221	69	1,215		69	293	69	(922)		
Net Transfers	69	(746)	69	(3,135)	320%	69	(1,957)	60	1,178	-38%	(5)
Additions/(Deductions) to Unrestricted Net Assets		727		0			(4 0		(40)		
Change in Unrestricted Net Assets	69	702	S	(1,920)		69	(1,704)	6/3	216		
Beginning Fund Balance Available for Operations	ļ	3,109		3,811			3,811		9		
Ending Fund Balance Available for Operations	69	3,811	S	1,891		se l	2,107	es l	216		
Ending FB as a % Operating Revenues		19.7%		10.1%			12.270				

As of May 31, 2015 Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Budget Forecast

			l			I					
(in thousands except enrollment)	FY2014 Final Results	inal	FY2015 Initial Budget		% Change	FY For Bu	FY2015 Forecast Budget	Variance from 100.0% Initial Budget	Variance from Initial Budget	% Variance from Initial Budget	Notes
DESIGNATED OPERATIONS, SERVICE DEPARTMENTS											
Errolineati Fees Sales & Services	₩ ₩	721 1,669	8	1,078	50%	69	811 2,115	69	(267) 245	-25% 13%	(9) (01)
Other Total Revenues	S S	3,923	\$ 4	1,847	20%	on.	1,606	6.1% \$	(241)	-13% -5%	(11)
Personnel Services Supplies & Services & Capital Outlay Total Expenditures		2,355 1,875 4,229	\$ 2	2,888 1,998 4,886	23% 7% 16%	ss ss	1,907	n n	(91)	-10% -5% -8%	(12)
Net from Operations	6A	(306)	69	(91)	7339%	w	40	S	131	%19	(42)
Additional Additions of Unrestricted Net Assets Chance in Imeastricted Net Assets	69	76	69	0 0		6/5	32	ø	8 6		
Beginning Fund Balance Available for Operations Ending Fund Balance Available for Operations		871	69	527		S	\$27 850	S	262		
Ending FB as a % Operating Revenues Days of expenditures		13,4% 45	1	12.3%			18.8%				
ALL CURRENT UNRESTRICTED FUNDS:											
Beginning Fund Balance Available for Daily Operations Revenues	e9	4,998	& & 4/	6,082	1%	69	6,082	69	(489)	-1%	
Expenditures	7.	73,501	73	73,425	%0		72,449		(976)	-1%	
Transfers		964		(453)			34		487		
GL Additions & Deductions		303		×			(10)	•	(10)		
Ending Fund Balance Available for Daily Operations	69	6,082	69	6,582		м	7,546	60	963		
Ending FB as a % Operating Revenues		83%		%88			10.2%				

Utility budget anticipated a revenue decrease of about 2.6%. Actual student FIE is treading down only 0.7%, actual dollars are also up due to shifts in errollment mix.

(2) Initial budget anticipated a revenue decrease of about 2.6%. Actual student FIE is treading down only 0.7%, actual dollars are also up due to shifts in errollment mix.

(3) Savings partially from the restructuring of Academic Affairs, and leas reliance on the use of adjunct instructors.

(4) Includes costs public down from University Stared Services Enterprise and legal costs and legal costs and legal costs.

(5) Reduction in timing of the amount be transferred from the North Campus Village Project, reduction of the transfer to come from other Housing perenties and increased Residence Hall fee remissions.

(6) Lower than anticipated Day Care Center revenue, lower Housing revenues due to lower than budgeted occupancy, and increased Residence Hall fee remissions.

(7) Food Service treating lower.

(8) Services in labor costs largely in Housing operations.

(9) Growth primarily in Non-credit programs, but not to the levels initially budgeted.

(10) Growth in support coming in from the JPR. Foundation.

(11) Trendful in line slightly higher than the prior fiscal year.

(12) Growth in Non-credit programs, put not to the levels initially budgeted.

(13) Additional 2250K General Fund support transferred in from the USSE.

(14) Reduction in anticipated Fee Remissin awards.

Update on SOU's Schneider Children's Center.

Since the original decision to close the Center, following over 16 months of unsuccessful work to find a sustainable model, two major developments have occurred that significantly change the overall analysis of long term viability for the Center.

Event # 1: SOU was approached in late May by the Oregon Child Development Coalition (OCDC) to partner with the SCC and add Early Head Start spots utilizing a combination of existing State funding and a five year federal grant to expand Early Head Start.

Event # 2: The Associated Students of SOU placed a referendum on their May ballot to increase the Student Incidental Fee paid by all students by \$13.00 per term for the dedicated purpose of supporting the Schneider Children's Center. Under current enrollment figures, this would generate approximately \$170,000 annually to support the center. Though this funding source would not begin until Academic Year 2016-17.

These two events have significantly changed the financial projections of the Center and therefore, Management will present the financials in full detail at the upcoming Finance Committee meeting scheduled for June 22nd.

The financial pro forma is attached.

2016-17
۲
FORM
PRO
NCIAL
FINA
CENTER
Ş
DREN
분
EIDER
SCHN
2

		SOU SCHNE	HNEIDER CHIL	DREN'S CENTI	DER CHILDREN'S CENTER FINANCIAL PRO FORMA: 2016-17	FORMA: 2016	-17	1			i H
4	lofant	Toddler 1-2 Toddle	Toddler 2-3	Total	Infant	Toddler 1-2	Toddler 2-3	Holer 2-3 I/T Total	Preschool	Total	l OLA!
Slots	4	4	000	16	4	4	4	12	20	32	48
Groups	1	1	2		1	1	н		2		
Classrooms	Pink	Green	Blue		Pink	Green	Blue		Purple		
Fee Revenue	64,253.14	64,253.14	128,506.28	257,012.56	27,000,00	54,000.00	54,000.00	135,000.00	123,500.00	258,500,00	515,512,56
Annual Fee/Child	16,063.28	16,063.28	16,063,28		6,750.00	6,750.00	6,750,00		5,850.00		
Monthly Fee/Child	1,338.61	1,338.61	1,338.61		750.00	750.00	750,00		650.00		
Monthly Fee/child - after Student Subsidy					350,00	350.00	350.00		250.00		
Hourly Rate					7.50	7.50	7.50		6.50		
Hourly Rate w/ Student Subsidy	;	;	;		3.50	3.50	3.50		2,50		
Hour/week	20.00	20.00	20.00		25.00	_	25,00		25.00		
Hours/day	10: 7:30 - 5:30	10: 7:30 - 5:30	10: 7:30 - 5:30		5: 7:30 - 12:30		5: 7:30 - 12:30		5: 7:30 - 12:30		
Weeks/Year	49,00	49.00	49.00		36,00	36.00	36,00		36.00		
Late pick up fees @ $\$1/Minute$	20.00	20.00	80.00	120,00	20.00	20.00	20.00	90.09	200.00	260.00	380
Employment Related Day Care (ERDC) Subsidy	24,888.00	24,888.00	49,776.00	99,552.00				260		•	99,552.00
Student Support of SCC				Ţ.	21,250.00	21,250,00	21,250.00	63,750.00	106,250.00	170,000.00	170,000,00
Total Revenue	89,161,14	89,161,14	178,362.28	356,684.56	48,270.00	75,270.00	75,270.00	198,810.00	229,950.00	428,760.00	785,444.56
Center Overhead											
Director	1.737.76	1.737.76	3.475.52	6.951.04	2.260.72	2.260.72	2.260.72	6,782,15	11,303,59	18,085.74	25,036.78
Hrly Pay Rate	25.08	25,08	25.08		25.08	25.08	25.08		25.08		
Director FTE	0.03	0.03	0.07	0,13	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.33	0.54	0.87	1.00
Cook	2,883,49	2,883,49	5,766,98	8,650,47	1,802,63	1,802.63	1,802.63	3,605.26	9,013.16	12,618.42	21,268.89
Cook Hrly Pay Rate - range 12	16.64	16,64	16,64		16,64	16,64	16.64		16,64		į
Cook FTE	80"0	0.08	0.17	0.33	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.16	0.26	0.42	0.75
Floater Teachers assistant - range 10	1,525.31	1,525.31	3,050.63	6,101.26	1,525.31	1,525.31	1,525.31	4,575.94	7,626.57	12,202.52	18,303.78
Hrly Pay Rate - range 10	13.67	13,67	13.67	Č	13.67	13.6/	13.67	91	13.67	0.43	0.64
leachers Assist FIE	50,00	0,00	1 503 30	2 205 51	901 65	801.65	801 65	2 404 95	4 008 76	6 413 71	9.619.82
Student Labor 0.3 FTE	9.75	9.75	1,603.30	2,200,01	9 25	9.75	9.25		9,25		
Student FIF	0.04	0.04	80.0	0.17	0.0	0.04	0.04	0.13	0.21	0.33	0.50
Overhead	6,948.22	6,948.22	13,896.43	24,909.37	6,390.32	6,390.32	6,390.32	17,368.32	31,951.58	49,319.90	74,229.27
		1000	1.00	0 0 0					NA 302 00	29 685 64	158 742 55
Teacher Salary	39,685,64	39,685.64	39,685,64	119,056.91	. 0	1 0	1000	60	10,000,00	100000	
Hrly Pay Rate - range 15	19.08	19.08	19.08	00.6	19.08	T3.00	13.00		1 00	1.00	4.00
Teacher Headfount	100	1 00	1 00	00 %	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE		1.00	1.00	4.00
Teacher Assistant Salary	7.1	59	34.430.27	34,430.27	20.012,60	25,822.70	16,946.15	62,781.45	22,594.87	85,376.31	119,806.58
Hrly Pay Rate - range 10	15.28	15.28	15.28		15.28	15.28	15.28		15.28		
Teachers Assist FTE	**	6	1.00	1.00	0.78	1,00	99"0	2.43	0.88	3.31	4.31
Hrly Sub Teacher	3,731.84	14,216.53	14,216,53	32,164.89	ř.	10	(9)		9	íi.	32,164.89
Hrly Pay Rate - range 10	13.67	13.67	13.67		13.67	13.67	13.67		13.67		,
Sub FTE	0.13	0.50	0,50	1.13	è	Ÿ	10	(4)	9	•	1.13
Student classroom Support: 1.0 FTE		*	*	98	2,404.95	2,404.95	2,404.95	7,214.86	12,024.77	19,239.63	19,239.63
OPE Medical	17,413.63	17,413.63	34,827.26	69,654.52	14,717.41	18,065.41	12,950.41	45,733.22	45,827.03	24,777,43	20,451.93
OPE Retirement	10,576.80	12,778.58	21,468.06	44,823.44	5,544.61	6,764.73	4,900,66	0.707	19,786.74	12 275 84	37 126 22
OPEOther	4,684.01	5,659.09	9,507.28	19,850.38	2,6/9.13	3,219.47	2,595.55	71 735 77	73 497 65	93 747 66	228.071.00
Total OPE	32,674.43	35,851.29	65,802.61	134,328.34	22,941.15	28,049.b1	Z0,245.01	/1,233.//	00.764,67	20,742,00	00:10:00
S&S	3,000.00	6,000.00	6,000,00	16,000.00	2,250.00	4,500.00	4,500.00	16,000.00	4,500.00	20,500.00	36,500.00
Rent	*	*	50	ħ:	4,000.00	4,000.00	4,000.00	12,000.00	12,000.00	24,000.00	24,000.00
Total Costs	86,040.13	102,701.67	174,031.47	360,889.78	10'666'25	71,167,58	54,486.43	186,600.40	196,254.50	331,864.14	692,753,92
	2 131 01	(12 540 53)	4 220 21	14 205 231	(9 779 01)	4 102 42	20.783.57	12,209,60	33,695.50	96,895.86	92,690.64
Net of Operations	Spanish	14-14-14-14-1	I	farmant.			The state of the s	The management			